4.1 — Modeling Market Power

ECON 306 • Microeconomic Analysis • Spring 2021

Ryan Safner

Assistant Professor of Economics

✈ safner@hood.edu

⊙ ryansafner/microS21

🌐 microS21.classes.ryansafner.com

Outline

Market Power

Imperfect Competition

Monopoly

Perfect
Competition

Less
Competitive

More
Competitive

Imperfect Competition

"Imperfect Competition"

Monopoly

Perfect
Competition

Less
Competitive

More
Competitive

# Imperfect Competition

"Imperfect Competition"

Monopoly

Perfect Competition

Less Competitive

More Competitive

Oligopoly

Imperfect Competition

"Imperfect Competition"

Monopoly

Perfect Competition

Less Competitive

More Competitive

Oligopoly

Monopolistic Competition

Competitive Markets, Recap

- For competitive markets, modeled firms as **"price-takers"**: so many of them selling identical products

  - $p^\star$ must be market price, but choose $q^\star$ to maximize π

- **Marginal cost pricing**, which is **allocatively efficient** for *society*

  - $p = MC$
  - $MSB = MSC$

- In long-run, **free entry and exit** caused prices to equal (average & marginal) costs and pushed economic profits to zero

Market Power

> "People of the same trade seldom meet together, even for merriment and diversion, but the conversation ends in a conspiracy against the public, or in some contrivance to raise prices," (Book I, Chapter 2.2).

Smith, Adam, 1776, *An Enquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Nations*

Adam Smith

1723-1790

Market Power vs. Competition

- All sellers *would like to* raise prices and extract more revenue from consumers

- **Competition** from other sellers (and potential **entrants**) drives prices to equal costs & economic profits to zero

  - Firm in competitive market raising $p > MC(q)$ would lose *all* of its customers!

- **Market power**: ability to raise $p > MC(q)$ (and *not* lose *all* customers)

Modeling Firms with Market Power

- Firms with market power behave *differently* than firms in a competitive market

  - **Today**: understanding how to model that different behavior

- Start with simple assumption of a *single* seller: **monopoly**

- **Next class:**

  - *causes* of market power
 - *consequences* of market power

Modeling Firms with Market Power

- A firm with market power is a **"price-searcher"**

  - Firms with market power **search** for **both** $(q^\star, p^\star)$ that **maximizes** π

- With a monopoly, we can safely ignore the effects that *other* sellers have on the firm's behavior

 - An easy starting point, for now

The Monopolist's Problem

- The *monopolist's* profit maximization problem:

1. **Choose:** < output and price: $(q^\star, p^\star)$ >

2. **In order to maximize:** < profits: π >

Marginal Revenues

Market Power and Revenues I

Demand = Max WTP

- Firms are constrained by relationship between quantity and price that consumers are willing to pay

- Market (inverse) demand describes maximum price consumers are willing to pay for a given quantity

- Implications:

  - Even a monopoly can't set a price "as high as it wants"
 - Even a monopoly can still earn losses!

Market Power and Revenues II

- As firm chooses to produce more q, must lower the price on *all* units to sell them

Market Power and Revenues II

- As firm chooses to produce more q, must lower the price on *all* units to sell them

- Price effect: lost revenue from lowering price on all sales

Market Power and Revenues II

- As firm chooses to produce more q, must lower the price on *all* units to sell them

- **Price effect**: lost revenue from lowering price on all sales

- Output effect: gained revenue from increase in sales

Marginal Revenue I

- If a firm increases output, Δq, revenues would change by:

$$\Delta R(q) = p\Delta q + q\Delta p$$

- Output effect: increases number of units sold (Δq) times price p per unit

- Price effect: lowers price per unit (Δp) on *all* units sold (q)

- Divide both sides by Δq to get **Marginal Revenue,** $MR(q)$:

$$\frac{\Delta R(q)}{\Delta q} = MR(q) = p + \frac{\Delta p}{\Delta q}q$$

- Compare: demand for a **competitive** firm is perfectly elastic: $\frac{\Delta p}{\Delta q} = 0$, so we saw $MR(q) = p$!

Marginal Revenue II

- If we have a linear inverse demand function of the form

$$p = a + bq$$

  - a is the choke price (intercept)
  - b is the slope

- Marginal revenue again is defined as:

$$MR(q) = p + \frac{\Delta p}{\Delta q} q$$

- Recognize that $\frac{\Delta p}{\Delta q} = \left(\frac{rise}{run} \right)$ is the slope, b,

$$MR(q) = p + (b)q$$
$$MR(q) = (a + bq) + bq$$
$$\mathbf{MR(q) = a + 2bq}$$

Marginal Revenue III

$$p(q) = a + bq$$
$$MR(q) = a + 2bq$$

- **Marginal revenue starts at same intercept as Demand (a) with twice the slope $(2b)$**

- Don't forget the slopes (b) are always negative!

Marginal Revenue: Example

**Example**: Suppose the market demand is given by:

$$q = 12.5 - 0.25p$$

1. Find the function for a monopolist's marginal revenue curve.

2. Calculate the monopolist's marginal revenue if the firm produces 6 units, and 7 units.

Price Elasticity & Price Mark Up

Revenues and Price Elasticity of Demand

Demand Price Elasticity	MR(q)	R(q)
$\|\epsilon\| > 1$ Elastic	Positive	Increasing
$\|\epsilon\| = 1$ Unit	0	Maximized
$\|\epsilon\| < 1$ Inelastic	Negative	Decreasing

- Strong relationship between **price elasticity of demand** and revenues

- **Monopolists *only* produce where demand is elastic, with positive MR(q)!**

   - See appendix in today's class page for a proof

Market Power and Mark Up

- Perfect competition: $p = MC(q)$ (**allocatively efficient**)

- **Market power** defined as firm(s)' ability to raise **mark up** $p > MC(q)$

  - Even a monopolist is constrained by market demand

- **Size of markup depends on price elasticity of demand**

  - ↓ price elasticity: ↑ markup

    i.e. the *less* responsive to prices consumers are, the *higher* the price the firm can charge

The Lerner Index and Inverse Elasticity Rule I

- **Lerner Index** measures market power as % of firm's price that is **markup** above $MC(q)$

$$L = \frac{p - MC(q)}{p} = -\frac{1}{\epsilon}$$

- i.e. $L \times 100\%$ of firm's price is markup

- $L = 0 \implies$ perfect competition
  - 0% of price is markup, since $P = MC(q)$
- As $L \to 1 \implies$ more market power
  - 100% of price is markup

See today's class notes for the derivation.

The Lerner Index and Inverse Elasticity Rule II

The more (less) elastic a good, the less (more) the optimal markup: $L = \dfrac{p - MC(q)}{p} = -\dfrac{1}{\epsilon}$

Demand *Less* Elastic at p^*

Demand *More* Elastic at p^*

# Profit Maximization Rules, Redux

Visualizing Total Profit As R(q)-C(q)

- $\pi(q) = R(q) - C(q)$

Visualizing Total Profit As R(q)-C(q)

- $\pi(q) = R(q) - C(q)$

Visualizing Total Profit As R(q)-C(q)

- $\pi(q) = R(q) - C(q)$

- Graph: find q^* to max $\pi \implies q^*$ where max distance between $R(q)$ and $C(q)$

Visualizing Total Profit As R(q)-C(q)

- $\pi(q) = R(q) - C(q)$

- Graph: find q^* to max $\pi \implies q^*$ where max distance between $R(q)$ and $C(q)$

- Slopes must be equal:

$$MR(q) = MC(q)$$

Visualizing Total Profit As R(q)-C(q)

- $\pi(q) = R(q) - C(q)$

- Graph: find q^* to max $\pi \implies q^*$ where max distance between $R(q)$ and $C(q)$

- Slopes must be equal:

$$MR(q) = MC(q)$$

- At $q^* = 5$:
  - $R(q) = 75$
  - $C(q) = 40$
  - $\pi(q) = 35$

Visualizing Marginal Profit As MR(q)–MC(q)

- At low output $q < q^*$, can increase π by producing *more*

- $MR(q) > MC(q)$

Visualizing Marginal Profit As MR(q)-MC(q)

- At high output $q > q^*$, can increase π by producing *less*

- $MR(q) < MC(q)$

Visualizing Marginal Profit As MR(q)-MC(q)

- π is *maximized* where

$$MR(q) = MC(q)$$

Profit-Maximizing Price and Quantity (Graph)

- Profit-maximizing quantity is always $q^{\star}$ where $MR(q) = MC(q)$

Profit-Maximizing Price and Quantity (Graph)

- Profit-maximizing quantity is always $q^\star$ where $MR(q) = MC(q)$

- But monopolist faces *entire* market demand

   - Can charge as high $p^\star$ as consumers are WTP Market Demand

Profit-Maximizing Price and Quantity (Graph)

- Profit-maximizing quantity is always $q^\star$ where $MR(q) = MC(q)$

- But monopolist faces *entire* market demand

  - Can charge as high $p^\star$ as consumers are WTP Market Demand

- Break even price $p = AC(q)_{min}$

Profit-Maximizing Price and Quantity (Graph)

- Profit-maximizing quantity is always $q^\star$ where $MR(q) = MC(q)$

- But monopolist faces *entire* market demand

  - Can charge as high $p^\star$ as consumers are WTP Market Demand

- Break even price $p = AC(q)_{min}$

- Shut-down price $p = AVC(q)_{min}$

Firms With Market Power Respond Differently

Price-Taking Firm in Competitive Market

Price

p

MC

Demand

0

0   q   Quantity

Price-Searching Firm with Market Power

Price

p

MC

MR

Demand

0

0   q   Quantity

A Change in (A Firm's) Marginal Cost

Price-Taking Firm in Competitive Market

Price-Searching Firm with Market Power

- Change in $q^\star$ only

- Change in $p^\star$ and $q^\star$

A Shift of Market Demand

Price-Taking Firm in Competitive Market

Price-Searching Firm with Market Power

- Both firms change $p^\star$ and $q^\star$, but smaller change in $q^\star$ for monopolist

A Change in Price Elasticity of Demand

Competitive Market

Price-Searching Firm with Market Power

- No change in $q^\star$ or $p^\star$ for the *industry*!

- Monopolist will lower (raise) $p^\star$ and raise (lower) $q^\star$ as demand becomes more (less) elastic

Summing Up Monopolist's Supply Decisions

1. Produce the optimal amount of output q^* where $MR(q) = MC(q)$

2. **Raise price to maximum consumers are WTP:** $p^* = Demand(q^*)$

3. Calculate profit with average cost: $\pi = [p - AC(q)]q$

4. Shut down in the *short run* if $p < AVC(q)$

   ○ Minimum of AVC curve where $MC(q) = AVC(q)$

5. Exit in the *long run* if $p < AC(q)$

   ○ Minimum of AC curve where $MC(q) = AC(q)$

The Profit Maximizing Quantity & Price: Example

Example: Consider the market for iPhones. Suppose Apple's costs are:

$$C(q) = 2.5q^2 + 25,000$$
$$MC(q) = 5q$$

The demand for iPhones is given by (quantity is in millions of iPhones):

$$q = 300 - 0.2p$$

1. Find Apple's profit-maximizing quantity and price.
2. How much total profit does Apple earn?
3. How much of Apple's price is markup over (marginal) cost?
4. What is the price elasticity of demand at Apple's profit-maximizing output?